

GENERAL ADVERTISING

GENERAL ADVERTISING

GENERAL ADVERTISING

GENERAL ADVERTISING

GENERAL ADVERTISING

GENERAL ADVERTISING

GENERAL ADVERTISING

C. T. McALISTER, LIMITED

CAPITAL - - - \$1,000,000.00

BANKERS, REAL ESTATE, FINANCIAL BROKERS

SUITES 505 & 506 TEGLER BUILDING

Phone 4084

EDMONTON, ALBERTA

Real Estate Agents

If you are in shape to handle well located Edmonton subdivision on liberal commission basis, communicate at once with

Box 23, Bulletin

Builders and Contractors—
THE BEST AND CHEAPEST RESIDENCE—Buildings, first-class drawing free with contracts. Estimates given. Come today and see us. CLAUSEN, SCHUBERT & RICKAS, Room 201, Hart Block.

Canadian Pacific Railway City Ticket Office

Removed

To Alexander Block
139 Howard Ave.

C. S. FYFE,
City Ticket Agent.

A Good Policy Between Them



Have you a GOOD POLICY to protect you in case of fire? Cost little, but covers great loss.

McGeorge & Chauvin

FIRE INSURANCE

North-West Fire Insurance Co., Ltd. Tel. 1788

Representing

Atlas Assurance Co., Ltd.

London Assurance Co., Ltd.

Guardian Assurance Co., Ltd.

Insurance Co. of North America, of Philadelphia, Pa.

London Assurance Corporation of London, Eng.

Northern Assurance Co., Ltd., of London, Eng.


North British & Mercantile Insurance Co., Ltd., of Glasgow, Scotland.

North-West Fire Insurance Co., Ltd., of Winnipeg, Man.

St. Paul Fire & Marine Insurance Co., of St. Paul, Minn.

Superior Fire Insurance Co., of Canada.

Springfield Fire & Marine Insurance Co., of Springfield, Mass.



THE TERRA NOVA

NEW PHOTOGRAPH OF THE TERRA NOVA and its intertidal companion, Captain Scott. They failed to make the South Pole first, but it was a brilliant defeat.

RAILWAY COMPANIES MUST PAY DAMAGES

Saskatchewan Supreme Court En Banc Denies Appeals Against Verdicts of Jury Awarding Damages to Injured Employees.

Regina, Sask., April 5.—The Canadian Pacific and Canadian Northern Railway companies have appeared in vain to the supreme court of this province against verdicts by juries at Moose Jaw and Prince Albert, awarding compensation of \$12,000 and \$10,000, respectively, to employees for injuries suffered in the course of their employment. The judgments pronounced yesterday afternoon by the Supreme Court en banc, at their adjourned sittings, included two pronouncements of considerable interest and importance. The first was a masterly statement of the conditions which, in the majority of the court, govern appeals from juries on the question of damages.

In the case of A. Angus Tobin vs. the Canadian Pacific Railway, tried by the Chief Justice with a jury, it was decided by the jury that Tobin, a conductor, sustained his injuries through the negligence of a fellow employee who, in trying to keep the engine of a railway with a spreading machine attached to one of the company's construction trains, inadvertently touched a valve and caused the wings of the machine to descend with great force, the plaintiff being struck by one of them and seriously injured. His left leg was broken, and he sustained a compound fracture of the femur. He was unable to work for five weeks, was knocked out, and a number of his wounds were infected. The jury awarded him \$12,000 and costs.

Of a somewhat similar character, the suit of Max Gordon against the Canadian Northern Railway Company, tried by Judge Brown and a jury, engaged the attention of the court at the same sitting. Gordon, a blacksmith, earning from \$21 to \$43 per week, was temporarily hired at Star City by the conductor of one of the company's freight trains, to go out and help to dig out a freight train, which had been derailed.

When the job had been completed, he was invited by the conductor to enter the caboose to warm himself, and while there suffered serious burns and lacerations to his face abnormally sensitive and his right hand practically useless, through a collision caused by the caboose having been run into by one of the company's passenger trains. No difficulty was experienced by the jury in finding that this accident occurred as a result of neglect on the part of the crew of the passenger train, and that the conductor's determination to seem to have been whether Gordon was in the caboose at the time of the collision and in consequence of his employment, and if he was entitled to damages, and what sum should be awarded. The jury awarded to the plaintiff the sum of \$10,000 and costs. The second that \$10,000 represented the idea of the company's obligations.

The Canadian Northern Company thought differently, and, as previously stated, appealed to the court en banc. The appeals of these cases were dismissed.

In the case of A. Angus Tobin vs. the Canadian Pacific Railway, tried by the Chief Justice with a jury, it was decided by the jury that Tobin, a conductor, sustained his injuries through the negligence of a fellow employee who, in trying to keep the engine of a railway with a spreading machine attached to one of the company's construction trains, inadvertently touched a valve and caused the wings of the machine to descend with great force, the plaintiff being struck by one of them and seriously injured. His left leg was broken, and he sustained a compound fracture of the femur. He was unable to work for five weeks, was knocked out, and a number of his wounds were infected. The jury awarded him \$12,000 and costs.

Of a somewhat similar character, the suit of Max Gordon against the Canadian Northern Railway Company, tried by Judge Brown and a jury, engaged the attention of the court at the same sitting. Gordon, a blacksmith, earning from \$21 to \$43 per week, was temporarily hired at Star City by the conductor of one of the company's freight trains, to go out and help to dig out a freight train, which had been derailed.

When the job had been completed, he was invited by the conductor to enter the caboose to warm himself, and while there suffered serious burns and lacerations to his face abnormally sensitive and his right hand practically useless, through a collision caused by the caboose having been run into by one of the company's passenger trains. No difficulty was experienced by the jury in finding that this accident occurred as a result of neglect on the part of the crew of the passenger train, and that the conductor's determination to seem to have been whether Gordon was in the caboose at the time of the collision and in consequence of his employment, and if he was entitled to damages, and what sum should be awarded. The jury awarded to the plaintiff the sum of \$10,000 and costs. The second that \$10,000 represented the idea of the company's obligations.

The Canadian Northern Company thought differently, and, as previously stated, appealed to the court en banc. The appeals of these cases were dismissed.

In the case of A. Angus Tobin vs. the Canadian Pacific Railway, tried by the Chief Justice with a jury, it was decided by the jury that Tobin, a conductor, sustained his injuries through the negligence of a fellow employee who, in trying to keep the engine of a railway with a spreading machine attached to one of the company's construction trains, inadvertently touched a valve and caused the wings of the machine to descend with great force, the plaintiff being struck by one of them and seriously injured. His left leg was broken, and he sustained a compound fracture of the femur. He was unable to work for five weeks, was knocked out, and a number of his wounds were infected. The jury awarded him \$12,000 and costs.

Of a somewhat similar character, the suit of Max Gordon against the Canadian Northern Railway Company, tried by Judge Brown and a jury, engaged the attention of the court at the same sitting. Gordon, a blacksmith, earning from \$21 to \$43 per week, was temporarily hired at Star City by the conductor of one of the company's freight trains, to go out and help to dig out a freight train, which had been derailed.

When the job had been completed, he was invited by the conductor to enter the caboose to warm himself, and while there suffered serious burns and lacerations to his face abnormally sensitive and his right hand practically useless, through a collision caused by the caboose having been run into by one of the company's passenger trains. No difficulty was experienced by the jury in finding that this accident occurred as a result of neglect on the part of the crew of the passenger train, and that the conductor's determination to seem to have been whether Gordon was in the caboose at the time of the collision and in consequence of his employment, and if he was entitled to damages, and what sum should be awarded. The jury awarded to the plaintiff the sum of \$10,000 and costs. The second that \$10,000 represented the idea of the company's obligations.

The Canadian Northern Company thought differently, and, as previously stated, appealed to the court en banc. The appeals of these cases were dismissed.

MINERS EXECUTIVE MAY BE CENSURED

Director Which Voted Against Resigning Work Control Majority of Votes in Today's Conference.

London, April 6.—The struggle with the Miners' Federation reaches a climax today when it meets for the purpose of considering the resolution of its executive advising the men to return to work pending a settlement of the minimum wage bill by Joint district boards.

In spite of the fact that practically all the leaders have spoken in favor of returning to work, there is a possibility that the executive's resolution will be countermanded by the Federation and the men ordered to remain on strike.

Miners' meetings in Yorkshire, North Cumberland, Fife, Kilmory and Midlothian instructed their delegates to vote to condemn the executive resolution at tomorrow's meeting and move

that the men be ordered to remain idle. A bitter feeling against the Federation's executive prevailed at these meetings. If all the delegates representing the districts which voted against returning to work are of the same mind at the Federation meeting, the executive's advice will be rejected by a large majority.

The delegation of the warring districts have 414 votes in the Federation. The delegates from "peace districts" have 190 votes.

Royalty Barons Van der Linde.
London, April 5.—The King and Queen have consented to attend as spectators at Covent Garden and the Palace Theatre on June 10. It will be remembered that in connection with last year's coronation festivities they attended a grand performance at Covent Garden and the Palace Theatre.

In order not to offend members of the music hall world their Majesties had arranged to pay them a similar honor by visiting the Empire Palace, Edinburgh, before that date arrived, however, the theatre was gutted by fire, which cost the lives of the great Lafayette and some of his company. The following performance at the Palace theatre

here will be organized by a company consisting of all London vaudeville managers. The performance will last three hours and comprise about twenty acts by representative vaudeville managers.

May Use Balloons to Raise Wrecks.
Paris, April 6.—Interesting experiments were made at Cherbourg yesterday. A craft weighted with twelve tons of lead, this being about the weight of a submarine, was sunk

Children Often Need a Laxative—but you cannot be too careful what you give them. Health-purges injure the bowels and pave the way for life-long troubles. The new laxative is NA-DRU-CO LAXATIVES

effectively without irritating the bowels or causing any discomfort. The children like them for they taste like candy. One of the most popular of the NA-DRU-CO preparations. 25c. a box. If your druggist has not yet stocked them, send 25c. and we will mail them. 20 National Drug and Chemical Company of Canada, Limited, Montreal.

in the harbor and raised by special balloons, covered with hydrokin and india-rubber and protected by metal. The balloons, when filled by the hydrokin, drew the wreck to the surface.

Quebec Election Rumor.
Quebec, April 6.—There is a rumor here to the effect that the provincial elections will not be held before the autumn, it being argued that the bad state of the roads at this season of the year may delay disputation.

BELL \$500 PIANO GIVEN AWAY FREE!

First Grand Prize \$500. Bell Piano
Second Grand Prize, Autonola Price \$1000
Credit Cheque \$500 to apply.
Third Grand Prize \$35. Violin

2 1/2 years lease the 1 you 0 2 have at a price you 0 2 pay

Competition Open to anyone who does not own a Piano.

This is an age of progress. For years we have made a combined effort with our manufacturers to reduce the selling expense of pianos, and thereby enable ourselves to increase our volume of business by giving greater value for the money invested than it is possible to do in any other way. With us the old method of sending canvassers from house to house, paying music teachers' commissions, employing travelling salesmen at large salaries, and fabulous expense accounts etc., etc., has long since been eliminated, and the success of our effort is attested by the fact that our annual output is now greater than the combined business of all other music dealers in Alberta, and arrangements have been made whereby this is to be the most gigantic piano contest ever attempted in Western Canada.

Read Conditions Carefully.

In justice to those whom we wish to reach through this advertisement, as well as ourselves, this competition is limited to those who do not own pianos, consequently all persons who own pianos, and all those who are direct members of families who own pianos, are barred from this contest, and the management reserves the right to reject any contestant who in their opinion has violated the spirit of these conditions.

The first five persons who comply with these conditions and send in the nearest correct reading of the above rules will each receive a credit cheque for \$125.00, good to apply as a cash payment on any new piano in our stock at regular marked price. Each of the next ten persons will receive a similar cheque for \$100.00, and the next ten a credit cheque for \$105.00. The next person whose reply is nearest correct and whose number will be twenty-six will receive the First Grand Prize Bell Piano, value \$500, absolutely free. The next fifty persons whose reply is nearest correct will receive credit cheques for \$100.00, and the next person nearest correct, number 77, will receive the Second Grand Prize Credit Cheque for \$500, to apply on Autonola Player Piano, price \$1000.00. The next fifty nearest correct will receive credit cheques for \$100, and the next person nearest correct, number 123, will receive the Third Grand Prize, Violin value \$35.00, absolutely free, and also a Credit Cheque for \$100.00 in full price to take a piano and have the full amount of cheque and violin applied on purchase price of same.

The next fifty persons nearest correct will each receive credit cheques for \$85.00; the next fifty \$75.00, and the next seventy-five credit cheques for \$25.00.

All credit cheques will be good to apply on purchase price of new pianos selected from our stock only. Very easy terms of payment can be arranged on the balance is desired.

All replies will be numbered in the order in which they are received, and no more than one reply will be permitted from any one person or family. Any violation of this clause will forfeit the right to compete. Write your name plainly and enclose your reply in an envelope addressed to The Masters Piano Co., 423-425 Jasper Ave. West Edmonton, Alta., and mail or bring it to our store at once.

No one in any way connected with the Masters Piano Co. will be permitted to take part in this contest and the awards as placed by those in charge will be final.

All Replies must reach our Store not later than Saturday, April 13th

THE MASTERS PIANO CO.

423-425 JASPER AVE. WEST EDMONTON, ALBERTA

Sole factors for the Matchless Masters Piano, and Home of the New Art Bell, the Piano with the Sweet Tone

3rd Prize Value \$35

